

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/578,228	05/24/2000		Robert L. Heimann	EL017RH-2	4626	
75	590	12/04/2001				
Michael K Bo			EXAMINER			
Orscheln Management Co 2000 US Hwy 63 South				MULLINS, BURTON S		
Moberly, MO 65270				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834			
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	7	<u>.</u>
dress		
mmunication.		
e merits is		
er.		
Stage		
application	n).	
Mulli Ms Mill		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/578,228	HEIMANN ET AL.	HEIMANN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Burton S. Mullins	2834						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-fin	al.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-19 is/are withdraw	n from consideration	on.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	d b) disapproved by the Examiner						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office acti	on.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been recei	ved.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 📙	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Parento S Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO Other:	Y EXAMINER					

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Claims 1-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant traversed the restriction (election) requirement made by telephone by examiner Michael Barr (Art Unit 1762).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 20 depends from non-elected claims 2 and 16. Thus, claim 20 is indefinite.

The examiner has incorporated the subject matter of claims 2 and 16 into claim 20 for purposes of examination on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fahy (US 5,488,984) in view of Pearlman (US 3,796,608). Fahy teaches an electric motor rotor

Application/Control Number: 09/578,228

Art Unit: 2834

lamination treatment and manufacturing method including a squirrel-cage rotor assembly 1 comprising core 3 made of plural laminations 5 of high-magnetic permeability sheet steel (Figs.1-2). The core is placed in a mold and molten aluminum contacts the lamination slots 13 to form bars 15 and end rings 17.

Fahy teaches a Nitrosol B coating for the laminations which prevents rotor soldering, but does not disclose a coating for the laminations comprising at least one silica or borate containing composition further including a carbonizable material.

Pearlman teaches a coating and method for applying the coating on metal surfaces which may already carry coatings (c.1, lines 61-66). The coating includes a silica dispersion mixed with a carbonizable material such as sugar in solution (e.g., molasses; c.2, lines 2-6). The treatment includes the steps of dipping the metal in a bath of the treating solution, removal of the metal and rinsing, then drying (c.2, lines 7-12). Pearlman's coating produces bright, uncolored metal surfaces resistant to chemical attack or tarnishing (c.1, lines 33-48; c.3, lines 12-13 and 40-44).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the motor of Fahy and provide a metal treatment coating and steps per Pearlman since it would have been desirable to produce motor laminations resistant to chemical attack or tarnishing.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6. should be directed to Burton S. Mullins whose telephone number is (703) 305-7063.

bsm

November 15, 2001